Quantum gate description for induced coherence without induced emission and its applications
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We introduce unitary quantum gates for photon pair creation in spontaneous parametric down-conversion nonlinear crystals (NLs) and for photon path alignment. These are the two key ingredients for the method of induced coherence without induced emission and many ensuing variations thereof. The difficulty in doing so stems from an apparent mixing of the mode picture (such as the polarization of photons) and the Fock picture (such as the existence of the photons). We illustrate utility of these gates by obtaining quantum circuits for the experimental setups of the frustrated generation of photon pairs, identification of a pointlike object with undetected photons, and creation of a Bell state. We also introduce an effective nonunitary description for the action of NLs in experiments where all the NLs are pumped coherently. As an example, by using this simplifying picture, we show how NLs can be used to create superposition of given quantum states in a modular fashion.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, interest in the “induced coherence without induced emission” [1,2] has been revived due to its various renewed applications, e.g., in quantum imaging [3–5], generation of entanglement in multipartite and high-dimensional systems [6], connections to graphs and computational complexity [7], quantum spectroscopy [8], investigation of the complementarity relation [9], novel methods to quantify quantum correlations [10], and recently even superconducting microwave resonators [11]. Central in the original experiment was overlapping two paths: one output path from each of a pair of nonlinear spontaneous parametric down-conversion crystals (NLs). This overlapping or “path alignment” is responsible for inducing coherence in the output state of this experiment.

With the advent of quantum computation and extensive efforts for its optical realizations [12–16], and noting the importance of the coherence generation, it is imperative to understand the action of the path alignment and correlated pair creation in NLs within the context of quantum computation. To this end, one needs to attribute quantum gates to these effects. Although quantum circuits for several specific quantum-optical experiments containing NLs have already been proposed [17,18], a systematic approach for designing such quantum circuits is still lacking, mainly due to mixing of polarization and path degrees of freedom of photons which are created on-the-fly.

Here we solve this problem systematically. First, by defining photonic qutrits based on polarization and photon numbers in each path, we lay out a reduced unitary description for coherent creation of photon pairs, assuming weak nonlinearity or first-order approximation in the NL Hamiltonian. In addition, we introduce a unitary picture which describes path alignment. These gates enable one to attribute systematically unitary quantum circuits [19] to quantum-optical experiments containing NLs and path alignment and help to bridge the gap between quantum-optical experiments and quantum computation. We employ these gates for presenting quantum unitary circuits for three important quantum-optical experiments.

Second, we introduce an effective picture for creation of photon pairs. This picture relies on the fact that vacuum states cannot be detected in experiments. In addition, in contrast to the unitary approach, in this picture experiments can be described with photonic qubits using only the polarization degrees of freedom. An important feature of this effective picture is nonlinearity of the action of an NL. Nevertheless, in special cases one can attribute a linear (yet nonunitary) operator to an NL. As an application, using this effective picture, we show how to modularly create a superposition of a set of given quantum states. The features of this effective picture may allow one to employ it for realization of tasks which may seem impossible otherwise.

II. UNITARY DESCRIPTION OF AN NL

The Hamiltonian of an NL is $H_{NL} = g a_p \alpha_s^\dagger a_i^\dagger + g^* a_p^\dagger a_s a_i$, where $g$ denotes the down-conversion factor, which is often assumed $\ll 1$, and indices $p$, $s$, and $i$ denote distinguishable pump, signal, and idler photons, respectively [20]. For simplicity, we assume throughout this paper that the creation operators $a_p^\dagger$, $a_s^\dagger$, and $a_i^\dagger$ create photons, using the type I phase matching, only with horizontal polarization [21]. Thus the action of an NL is given by

$$U_{NL} = e^{-iH_{NL}} = 1 - i g a_p \alpha_s^\dagger a_i^\dagger - i g^* a_p^\dagger a_s a_i + O(|g|^2).$$ (1)

We assume that the pump photon is used only to activate NL and that the initial state is $|\alpha\rangle_p |0\rangle_s |0\rangle_i$, where $|\alpha\rangle$ is a coherent state (for the case of a single-photon pump see Appendix A). In addition, suppose that the laser is sufficiently weak, i.e., $|\alpha g| \ll 1$, and hence we can keep terms only up to the first order of $|\alpha g|$. These assumptions lead to the following conclusions: (1) in a multi-NL setup which is pumped coherently [2], existence of a signal or idler photon in any step of the experiment implies that one of the NLs in some previous step has fired, which in turn means that this
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applies on the target photon when the state of the control photon is 
the corresponding NOT gate (which transforms $|H\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ to each other) applies on the target photon when the state of the control photon is $|H\rangle$; otherwise, it acts as an identity.

state is already of $O(|\alpha|)$. In this case, the application of the next $U_{\text{NL}}$ on this state is given by the identity operator. Equivalently, there is at most one pair of photons in the whole experiment. (2) The state of the pump photon does not change during the experiment. Thus it is not necessary to include pump in the matrix representation of the associated unitary gate for an NL. In contrast to pump photons, since we are interested in the matrix representation of the associated unitary gate for during the experiment. (2) The state of the pump photon does not change.

Equivalently, there is at most one pair of photons in the whole path.

In the following, we illustrate how to employ these quantum gates in three important examples. To help clarify the action of path alignment in these experiments, we draw separate signal

and idler paths for each NL, even if this might seem redundant, and apply path alignment gate where it is needed.

III. EXAMPLES

A. Quantum circuit for frustrated generation of photon pairs

Using the introduced elements of the quantum circuits, $U_{\text{NL}}^g$ and SWAP, one can see that the frustrated down-conversion [22] can be described with the quantum circuit depicted in Fig. 3. Since the state of the pump throughout the experiment remains as the initial pump state $|\alpha\rangle$, we can remove it from the state representation of the photons. Assuming $|\psi_0\rangle = |00\rangle_{s_1i_1}|00\rangle_{s_2i_2}$, as the initial state for the quantum circuit of Fig. 3 yields the following states in the corresponding steps of the experiment:

$|\psi_1\rangle := U_{s_1i_1}^g|\psi_0\rangle = (|00\rangle - ig\alpha|H\rangle)|00\rangle_{s_2i_2}$, \hspace{0.5cm} (4)

$|\psi_2\rangle := U_{\Phi}|\psi_1\rangle = (|00\rangle - ie^{-i\Phi}\alpha|H\rangle)|00\rangle_{s_2i_2}$,

$|\psi_3\rangle := (\text{SWAP}_{s_1},\text{SWAP}_{i_1})|\psi_2\rangle = |00\rangle_{s_1i_1}$

$\times (|00\rangle - ie^{-i\Phi}\alpha|H\rangle)|s_2i_2$,

$|\psi_4\rangle := U_{s_2i_2}^g|\psi_3\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle - ig\alpha(1 + e^{-i\Phi})|00\rangle|H\rangle$. \hspace{0.5cm} (5)

It is seen that by choosing $\Phi = \pi$ in the final state $|\psi_4\rangle$ one can reach the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$. That is no photon can be detected

FIG. 3. Quantum circuit for frustrated down-conversion.
in either of the signal or idler paths. For a single-photon pump variant of the experiment see Appendix B.

B. Quantum circuit to create a maximally entangled state

A setup for creation of maximally entangled state between the polarizations of two photons is given in Fig. 2 (right) [23], and its circuit-based description is similar to what depicted in Fig. 3 with this difference that \( \Phi \) should be replaced with two half-waveplates (HWPs) in paths \( s_1 \) and \( i_1 \). The action of HWP is HWP\(|H\rangle = |V\rangle \) and HWP\(|V\rangle = |H\rangle \) (and obviously HWP\(|0\rangle = |0\rangle \)), which is the Pauli X operator in the \( \{|H\rangle,|V\rangle\} \) encoding. Following steps akin to those of Eq. (5), it is straightforward to see that the final state will be \( |00\rangle_{i_1i_2} - i g a |00\rangle_{i_1i_2} (|H H\rangle + |V V\rangle)_{i_1i_2} \), which means that the polarization state of the two photons of paths \( i_1 \) and \( i_2 \) are maximally entangled. Note that the probability of generating this state is \( O(|ga|^2) \).

C. Quantum circuit for identification of a pointlike object with undetected photons

The quantum circuit proposed in Fig. 4 can describe identification of a pointlike object with undetected photons. \( s_1 \) is the object, \( U_H \) is the Hadamard gate, and BS denotes the beam splitter.

Let us define the effective state \( |\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle \) as

\[
|\psi\rangle = |0 \ldots 0\rangle - i g a |\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle,
\]

in which \( |\psi\rangle \) is the state of the system. The action of an NL in the effective picture, \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \), placed on the signal and idler paths \( \ell_i \ell_j \), reads

\[
\Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N |\psi^{\text{in}}\rangle = |\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle + |HH\rangle_\ell_i \ell_j,
\]

in which \( |\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle \) is an arbitrary input state in the two-photon polarization Hilbert space. One can consider \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) as the reduction of \( U^{\text{NL}}_N \) to the effective picture. In our notation, the state of the system on the unwritten paths is vacuum; e.g., by \( |HH\rangle_\ell_i \ell_j, \) we mean \( |HH\rangle_\ell_i \ell_j |0\rangle^{\text{out}} \) in which \( \ell_i \ell_j \) indicates all paths except \( \ell_i \) and \( \ell_j \), and \( N \) is the total number of paths.

We note that \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) is nonunitary. In addition, \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) is nonlinear in the sense that \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N (c_1 |\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle + c_2 |\psi^{\text{eff}}_2\rangle) \neq c_1 \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N |\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle + c_2 \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N |\psi^{\text{eff}}_2\rangle \). Due to the nonlinearity property, \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) cannot be described as a two-photon gate and does not admit a matrix representation in the ordinary sense; rather, it can be considered as a superposer (which adds \( |HH\rangle_\ell_i \ell_j \) to input states).

Remark.—However, it is possible to obtain a matrix representation for \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) in some restricted sense (see Appendix D). Here we explain the simplified case where the system has only two paths. In this case, the nonlinearity of \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) can be bypassed by using an auxiliary extra dimension in an extended vector space. The effective state of the system in the extended space is represented as \( |\psi^{\text{in}}\rangle \), in which the first entry 1 is the auxiliary element. With this, the effective operation of NL is given by the translation matrix

\[
\Lambda_N^{\text{NL}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1_{3 \times 3} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \{|a\rangle,|HH\rangle,|HV\rangle,|VH\rangle,|VV\rangle\} \) is used as the basis, with \( |0\rangle = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T \) representing the vacuum [because \( |\text{vac}^{\text{eff}}\rangle = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T \)]. The matrix representation for \( \Lambda^{\text{NL}}_N \) can be generalized in systems with more than two paths using its representation in a direct-sum vector space; see Appendix D.
An application of the effective picture: Modular creation of uniform superposition

Using the effective picture, one can describe how to create two-photon superposed polarization states modularly by using a setup consisting of NLs. A high-dimensional generalization of this method will result in the generation of high-dimensional entangled states proposed in Ref. [6].

Let us assume that a set of two-photon states \{\ket{\phi_i}\}_{i=1}^N is given and the objective is to create a uniformly superposed state as \sum_{i=1}^N \ket{\phi_i} (omitting the normalization factor). To this end, we find a set of unitary operators \{U^{(1)}\}_{i=1}^N such that \ket{\phi_1} = U^{(1)}H\ket{H}, \ket{\phi_2} = U^{(2)}H\ket{H}, \ldots, and \ket{\phi_N} = U^{(N)}H\ket{H}. Figure 5 depicts the quantum circuit achieving the desired superposed state (for details of the calculations see Appendix E). An appealing feature of this superposition is that by removing the \ith NL, the related state \ket{\phi_i} will also be removed from the superposition without affecting other states.

It is straightforward to modify the setup of Fig. 5 to create similar superposition of given single-photon states. This can be achieved by applying single-photon unitary gates only on one of the paths (e.g., signal) and discarding the photon on the other (idler) path. To create a nonuniform superposition, it suffices to put the proper number of NLs successively (or equivalently put an NL in a cavity to use it multiply because of the reflection of photons).

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have obtained a unitary gate representation for photon pair creation with spontaneous parametric down-conversion in nonlinear crystals (NLs) which is suitable for describing experiments with weak pumping. We also have shown that path alignment can be unitarily described with a SWAP gate. We have used the introduced gates to obtain the equivalent quantum circuits for several quantum-optical experiments. Knowing the unitary gates for elements of experimental setups can be useful in designing quantum algorithms realizable by quantum optics. It can also be helpful in obtaining equivalent optical experimental setups for previously known quantum algorithms. Another application can be in designing computer programs for automated search for new quantum experiments [24,25].

Additionally, we have introduced an effective picture description for NLs which is more suitable for quantum-optical experiment. Using this picture it is simpler to see the application of NLs for creating modular superpositions of given quantum states. The effective picture may also be useful in constructing many-body quantum states with certain amount of entanglement [26,27], such as graph states for measurement-based quantum computation [28,29].

A future question could involve investigation of the inherent nonlinearity of our effective description in the context of new quantum computation schemes. It will also be interesting to see how the effective description of processes can be generalized to multiphoton systems to allow employment of state-of-the-art photonic technology [30,31]. Additionally, nonunitarity of the effective picture may also enable simulating open quantum dynamics more naturally within this picture.
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APPENDIX A: UNITARY DESCRIPTION OF AN NL WHEN THE PUMP IS A SINGLE PHOTON

As we have already explained in the main text, one does not need to manipulate polarization of pump photons during the experiment, because the pump is used only to activate NLs. Hence, if we assume the pump is a single photon, only two states \(|0\rangle\) and \(|H\rangle\) are sufficient to describe its state. With this assumption and considering that for all NLs in the experimental setup \(g \ll 1\), and that NLs are pumped coherently, the (truncated) Hilbert space for the possible input states of an NL is spanned by

\[
\{|000\rangle, |H00\rangle, |0H0\rangle, |0V0\rangle, |00H\rangle, |00V\rangle, |0HH\rangle, |0HV\rangle, |0VH\rangle, |0VV\rangle\}_\psi.
\]

(A1)

Among these states the action of NL described by \(U^p_{\text{NL}}\) (\(p\) indicates that pump is a single photon) is nontrivial only on the subspace \(|H00\rangle\) and is given by the following (for brevity, the subscripts are removed when it raises no ambiguity):

\[
U^p_{\text{NL}}|0HH\rangle = |0HH\rangle - ig^*|H00\rangle + O(|g|^2),
\]

\[
U^p_{\text{NL}}|H00\rangle = |H00\rangle - ig|0HH\rangle + O(|g|^2).
\]

(A2)
and it applies on the other eight states of the subspace (A1) as identity. Using a method based on the Gray codes [19] the quantum circuit of Fig. 6 for $U^P_{NL}$ is obtained. In this figure, the three-photon G-CNOT gates with two control photons are natural generalization of two-photon G-CNOTs.

The qutrit-gate $G$, in the computational basis which is defined in the main text, is given by

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -ig^* & 0 \\ -ig & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(A3)}$$

**APPENDIX B: QUANTUM CIRCUIT FOR FRUSTRATED GENERATION OF PHOTON PAIRS WHEN THE PUMP IS A SINGLE PHOTON**

The quantum circuit for frustrated down-conversion with a single-photon pump (see Fig. 7) with quantum state $|H\rangle_{p1}|0\rangle_{p2} + |0\rangle_{p1}|H\rangle_{p2}$ is given in Fig. 7. The effect of the circuit on the input $|\psi_0\rangle = |H00\rangle_{p1i1i2}|000\rangle_{p2y1y2} + |000\rangle_{p1i1i2}|H00\rangle_{p2y1y2}$ becomes

$$|\psi_1\rangle := U^P_{NL1}|\psi_0\rangle = (|H00\rangle_{p1i1i2} - ig|0HH\rangle_{p1i1i2})|000\rangle_{p2y1y2} + |000\rangle_{p1i1i2}|H00\rangle_{p2y1y2},$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle := U_\Phi|\psi_0\rangle = (|H00\rangle_{p1i1i2} - ie^{-i\Phi}g|0HH\rangle_{p1i1i2})|000\rangle_{p2y1y2} + |000\rangle_{p1i1i2}|H00\rangle_{p2y1y2},$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle := (\text{SWAP}_{i2i3}\text{SWAP}_{i1i2})|\psi_1\rangle = |000\rangle_{p2y1y2}|000\rangle_{p1i1i2} - ie^{-i\Phi}g|000\rangle_{p1i1i2}|000\rangle_{p2y1y2},$$

$$|\psi_4\rangle := U^P_{NL2}|\psi_2\rangle = |H00\rangle_{p1i1i2} - ie^{-i\Phi}g|000\rangle_{p1i1i2}|000\rangle_{p2y1y2} - ig^*|H00\rangle_{p1i1i2} + |000\rangle_{p1i1i2}|000\rangle_{p2y1y2},$$

By choosing $\Phi = \pi$, it can be seen that the output state will become equal to the input state, i.e., $|\psi_4\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle$, which gives zero photon on both final paths $i_2$ and $i_3$. In addition, when the phase shifter is placed on path $s$ or even on the input pump path of one of the crystals, the same effect can be observed.

**APPENDIX C: DETAILS OF THE STEPS OF THE CIRCUIT FOR IDENTIFICATION OF A POINTLIKE OBJECT WITH UNDETECTED PHOTONS**

The steps of the circuit of Fig. 4 after the application of the first NL, which yields $|\psi_1\rangle$ of Eq. (4), are given in the following:

$$|\psi_2\rangle := \mathcal{E}_D|\psi_1\rangle = |000\rangle_{s1i1i2w} - ig|H\rangle_{s1i1i2}(T e^{i\gamma}|H0\rangle + \sqrt{1 - T^2}|0H\rangle)_{s1i1i2w}$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle := \text{SWAP}_{i2i3}|\psi_2\rangle$$

$$= |000\rangle_{s1i1i2w}|000\rangle_{i2i3w} - ig|H\rangle_{s1i1i2}|0H\rangle_{i2i3w} - ig\sqrt{1 - T^2}|0H\rangle_{s1i1i2w}|000\rangle_{i2i3w} - ig\sqrt{1 - T^2}|0H\rangle_{s1i1i2w}|000\rangle_{i2i3w}.$$

$$|\psi_4\rangle := U^P_{NL2}|\psi_3\rangle$$

$$= |000\rangle_{s1i1i2w} - ig|H\rangle_{s1i1i2w} + T e^{i\gamma}|H0\rangle_{s1i1i2w} + T e^{i\gamma}|H\rangle_{s1i1i2w} - ig\sqrt{1 - T^2}|0H\rangle_{s1i1i2w} - ig\sqrt{1 - T^2}|0H\rangle_{s1i1i2w},$$

The action of $\mathcal{E}_D$, which is assumed not to affect the polarization of photons, is given in Refs. [3,18]. Tracing out over the idler path and path $w$, the state $|\psi_4\rangle_{s1i1i2w}$ yields the same result expected from the experimental setup before the signal photons pass through the final beam splitter [Eq. (22) of Ref. [18]],

$$\Upsilon = \frac{1}{2}(|H\rangle\langle H| \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + T e^{i\gamma}|H\rangle|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + |H\rangle|0\rangle \otimes |H\rangle|0\rangle + T e^{-i\gamma}|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes |H\rangle).$$

\[042317-5\]
is applied on paths $n, n'$ comprising space to a direct-sum Hilbert space. Consider a system with 2 states and NLs lead to the reduction of tensor-product Hilbert space. Following the steps of Fig. 5 by using the matrix representation for NLs, one obtains that

$$|\psi^{\text{eff}}\rangle = |\psi\rangle_{1, 1} + \cdots + |\psi\rangle_{n, n'} + \cdots + |\psi\rangle_{N, N_i},$$

(D1)

in which $|\psi\rangle_{n, n'} = P_{n, n'}|\psi\rangle$, with $P_{n, n'} = \sum_{k, l, p, q = H, V} |k\rangle_{n, n'} \langle pq|$ being the projector into the $H_{n, n'}$.

Interestingly, the above decomposition also allows that the action of an NL on paths $n_i$ and $n'_i$ be represented in a restricted fashion: $(A_{\text{NL}}^{n, n'})_{n_i, n'_i} : H_{n, n'} \rightarrow H_{n, n'}$; that is, $(A_{\text{NL}}^{n, n'})_{n_i, n'_i}$ acts only on $H_{n, n'}$. Thus an NL on paths $n_i$ and $n'_i$ is given by $(A_{\text{NL}}^{n, n'})_{n_i, n'_i} \oplus \mathbb{1}_{n, n'}$ (see Fig. 8). This implies that in this projective decomposition of the accessible Hilbert space NL acts nontrivially only on the vectors of the corresponding subspace.

**APPENDIX E: DETAILS OF THE CALCULATIONS OF THE MODULAR SUPERPOSITION EXAMPLE**

Following the steps of Fig. 5 by using the matrix representation for NLs, one obtains that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \cdots$$

(E1)

Alternatively, we can represent the whole action of the circuit in the matrix language as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A_{\text{NL}}^{n} \rightarrow U^{(N)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U^{(N)} & 1 \\ |H\rangle & |H\rangle & |H\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \cdots$$

(E2)