Quantum orbital angular momentum of elliptically symmetric light
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We present a quantum-mechanical analysis of the orbital angular momentum of a class of recently discovered elliptically symmetric stable light fields—the so-called Ince-Gauss modes. We study, in a fully quantum formalism, how the orbital angular momentum of these beams varies with their ellipticity, and we discover several compelling features, including nonmonotonic behavior, stable beams with real continuous (noninteger) orbital angular momenta, and orthogonal modes with the same orbital angular momenta. We explore, and explain in detail, the reasons for this behavior. These features may have applications in quantum key distribution, atom trapping, and quantum informatics in general—as the ellipticity opens up an alternative way of navigating the spatial photonic Hilbert space.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the orbital angular momentum (OAM) of stable light modes is a newly burgeoning field. Since the seminal paper by Allen et al. [1], research in this field has accelerated. Interest has been driven by the promise of access to higher-dimensional Hilbert spaces (especially larger alphabet quantum key distribution and secure bit commitment [2–4]), potential probes of heretofore hidden phenomena (even astrophysical events [5]), metrology [6], use in micromechanics [7], and perhaps most importantly the insight that the study of OAM provides into the fundamental properties of light fields themselves. Especially illuminating is the investigation of the quantum aspects of OAM-carrying light modes. Such beams have even been entangled in their orbital angular momentum degree of freedom [8–11].

When a light field is in the paraxial regime, the total angular momentum of the classical electromagnetic field separates out into the spin and orbital components [12]. A similar decomposition exists in a fully quantum operator formalism: $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}$ for both vector and scalar fields, where $\mathbf{J}$, $\mathbf{L}$, and $\mathbf{S}$ represent the total, orbital, and spin angular momenta, respectively [13,15]. Thus these beams may be said to have a well-defined OAM. The spin angular momentum (SAM) is familiar in its manifestation as the polarization of the light (right circular carrying $+\hbar$ SAM, left circular carrying $-\hbar$). This has been known since 1909 [16] and was observed experimentally in 1936 [17]. When an SAM-carrying beam is absorbed by a particle, the particle is made to spin about an axis defined by the particle’s own center of mass. The OAM of a light field is a result of the overall transverse phase structure of the beam. A particle absorbing OAM is made to rotate about the central axis of the light beam itself—hence the term “orbital” (see, for example, Ref. [18]). An individual photon may carry $\hbar$ units of OAM, where $\hbar$ may take any integer value. All results calculated in this paper are per photon.

There are many classes of paraxial beams, each with their own unique properties. Two of the most familiar are the Laguerre-Gauss (LG) and the Hermite-Gauss (HG), which are the natural solutions in circular-cylindrical and Cartesian coordinates, respectively. In this paper, we study the OAM properties of a recently discovered class of light fields, called the Ince-Gauss (IG) beams [19,20]. These beams display elliptic-cylindrical symmetry. Interestingly, they become LG modes in the limit of zero-coordinate-system ellipticity, and HG modes in the limit of infinite ellipticity. They are, in a sense, fundamental to these other light fields as they are generalizations existing in a larger mathematical space, the others being specific cases. Although all three types of beams exist in the same Hilbert space (and all three in fact span it), the IG modes are a more intuitive way to navigate through this space, as the transition between the various stable modes is controlled by a continuous real parameter which has a straightforward meaning in terms of the shape of the transverse beam profile. Generation of IG modes of light is readily possible with a variety of methods, including with diode lasers and resonating cavities [21–24], and—most practically—with spatial light modulators [25], devices which are becoming increasingly common in optics labs due to their great utility. In a recent experiment, entanglement was generated between two IG modes [26].

Especially intriguing are the quantum properties of the OAM of these light fields, the study of which shall be the focus of this paper. We will find that the Ince-Gauss modes of light possess several compelling and unique properties: They offer some new insights into the nature of the orbital angular momentum of light and potentially present some new technological applications.

As we shall explain, the formalism we present is applicable to the most broad class of Gaussian-elliptical beams, including modes which are generated intentionally and also elliptic deviations from the LG and HG modes. This gives our research relevance for a wide spectrum of experimental endeavors. Furthermore the quantum formalism we employ is not only the most correct and fundamental approach but is also far more computationally efficient than classical methods. The second quantized approach also allows an interpretation of the results not available classically: Ince-Gauss beams possess a fractional amount of OAM per photon (photons being purely quantum objects without a good classical description).

In the next section, we briefly review the field of elliptical beams as a whole, with specific emphasis on those elements...
which are essential for the study of the orbital angular momentum of these modes. In Sec. III, we recall those parts of the established literature we require, and then we proceed to the derivations and discussions which compose the main conclusions of this paper. We conclude in Sec. IV with a brief overview and prospectus.

II. THE INCE-GAUSS MODES

For the convenience of the reader, we present here a brief overview of the derivation of the Ince-Gauss modes. Further and more detailed information on the classical properties of these fields may be found in Ref. [20]. Much of this section can be bypassed by those who have a strong familiarity with the field.

The paraxial wave equation (PWE) describes light modes for which the small-angle approximation is valid. The version for scalar fields is written as

$$
(\nabla^2_T + 2ik \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) \Psi(\vec{r}) = 0,
$$

(1)

where $k$ is the wave number, $\nabla^2_T$ is the transverse Laplacian, and $\Psi(\vec{r})$ is the scalar field as a function of position. It is worthwhile to note that since we have chosen to look at scalar fields, we are restricting ourselves to a subset of the possible stable light modes. Vector beams (where polarization need not be constant) can exist as well and have interesting properties; see, for example, Ref. [27]. It should be pointed out that there is a long-known equivalency between the PWE and nonrelativistic quantum mechanics with one space variable (here $z$) taking the place of time and the wave number taking the place of Planck’s constant in the time-dependent Schrödinger equation [28,29]. This means that all results derived can be applied equally well to matter waves, i.e., time-dependent solutions of the Schrödinger equation for a single particle. Even though this equivalency is neither new nor novel, it is worth mentioning briefly. We wish to find the solution to the PWE equation in the elliptic coordinate system. We can assume there is a solution of the form

$$
IG(\vec{r}) = E(\xi)N(\eta)e^{iZ(\eta)} \psi_G(\vec{r}).
$$

(2)

These are the trial Ince-Gauss solutions to the PWE, where $\vec{r}$ is a general position vector. The elliptic coordinates $\xi, \eta,$ and $z$ are related to Cartesian coordinates by $x = f(z) \cosh \xi \cos \eta,$ $y = f(z) \sinh \xi \sin \eta,$ and $z = z.$ The function $f(z)$ is the semifocal separation at position $z.$ It is given by $f(z) = f(0)w(z)/w(0),$ where $w(z)$ is the beam width as a function of $z,$ given by

$$
w(z) = w(0)\sqrt{1 + \frac{4z^2}{k^2w^2(0)}}.
$$

(3)

The point $z = 0$ is defined as the point where the beam waist is at a minimum. The function $\psi_G$ is the fundamental Gaussian beam. It is given by

$$
\psi_G(\vec{r}) = \frac{w(0)}{w(z)}e^{-\frac{r^2}{w^2(0)} - i \frac{k \eta^2}{2R(z)}} \left[ -i \arctan \left( \frac{2z}{k w^2(0)} \right) \right],
$$

(4)

where $r$ is the radial circular-cylindrical coordinate and is related to the elliptic-cylindrical coordinates by $r = f(z)\sqrt{\cosh^2 \xi \cos^2 \eta + \sinh^2 \xi \sin^2 \eta}.$ The function $R(z)$ is the radius of curvature of the phase front, and is given by $R(z) = z + k^2w^4(0)/4z.$

Inserting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) results in three separate differential equations for $E(\xi), N(\eta),$ and $Z(\eta):$

$$
d^2E/d\xi^2 - \epsilon \sin(2\xi) dE/d\xi - [a - p \epsilon \cosh(2\xi)]E = 0,
$$

(5)

$$
d^2N/d\eta^2 - \epsilon \sin(2\eta) dN/d\eta + [a - p \epsilon \cos(2\eta)]N = 0,
$$

(6)

$$
-\left( \frac{4z^2 + k^2w^4(0)}{2kw^2(0)} \right) dZ/dz = p,
$$

(7)

where $a$ and $p$ are separation constants. The variable $\epsilon$ represents the ellipticity of the coordinate system and is defined as $\epsilon = 2f^2(0)/w^2(0).$ Equation (6) is known as the Ince equation. It can be transformed into Eq. (5) by making the substitution $\eta \rightarrow i\epsilon \eta.$ The Ince equation was studied by Edward Lindsay Ince in 1923, who produced the eponymous solutions [30]. Equation (7) merely adds an additional phase. From now on we shall take $z = 0$ for the sake of clarity. The solutions to these differential equations are known as the Ince-Gauss beams [19,20]. They are given by

$$
IG_{pm}(\vec{r}, \epsilon) = CC_{pm}(i\xi, \epsilon)C_{pm}(\eta, \epsilon) \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{w^2(0)} \right],
$$

(8)

$$
IG_{pm}^o(\vec{r}, \epsilon) = SS_{pm}(i\xi, \epsilon)S_{pm}(\eta, \epsilon) \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{w^2(0)} \right],
$$

where $\epsilon$ and $\eta$ label the even and odd modes, respectively; $C$ and $S$ are normalization constants. The functions $C_{pm}$ and $S_{pm}$ are the even and odd Ince polynomials, which are found by first assuming they are of the form

$$
C_{2K,2n}(\eta, \epsilon) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} A_r(\epsilon) \cos(2r\eta),
$$

(9)

$$
C_{2K+1,2n+1}(\eta, \epsilon) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} A_r(\epsilon) \cos[(2r + 1)\eta],
$$

(10)

$$
S_{2K,2n}(\eta, \epsilon) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} B_r(\epsilon) \sin(2r\eta),
$$

(11)

$$
S_{2K+1,2n+1}(\eta, \epsilon) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} B_r(\epsilon) \sin[(2r + 1)\eta],
$$

(12)

where $K$ may take any value from 0 to $n$ [except for Eq. (11), which may take any value between 1 and $n$]. Substituting these expansions into Eq. (6) results in a series of recurrence relations for the weighting constants $A_r$ and $B_r,$ which can be expressed as the kernel of the characteristic equation of some matrix $M,$ defined via those recurrence relations. The separation constant, $\alpha,$ then takes the role of the eigenvalues. For each of the $m$ values of $A_m$ (where the $m$’s label the values $a$ may take in ascending order), there is an associated eigenvector of $M$ which defines the specific values of the weighting constants.

So, after the choice of $p$ and $m$ (which are restricted to having the same parity—that is, both even or both odd—and $m \leq p$), the associated eigenvalue problem may be solved,
III. PROPERTIES OF THE INCE-GAUSS BEAMS

The ellipticity of the beam, specifically its relationship to the OAM, will be the primary focus of this paper. Thus it is worthwhile to briefly look at how the ellipticity affects the beam. The specifics of how the beams change as the ellipticity is varied is a function of the chosen mode numbers. However, generally speaking, as $\epsilon$ is increased, the transverse intensity profile elongates along the horizontal axis. Meanwhile for helical modes (those modes of the form $IG_m^+ \pm iIG_m^-$ and which carry OAM; we will discuss this further shortly), the central vortex splits into a number of vortices equal to $m$, and as $\epsilon$ is increased further, new vortices may be created in the outer rings of the pattern. Interestingly, for these modes the vortices are all associated with a topological charge of 1. The extremal, on-axis, vortices exist at the focal points of the elliptic coordinate system defined by $\epsilon$. See Fig. 1 for a visualization of the even, odd, and helical Ince-Gauss modes for various ellipticities and $p=5, m=3$.

While all three types of modes (even, odd, helical) have intensity zeros, only the helical modes have phase vortices. It is also interesting to investigate the properties of the phase profile of the IG modes as they propagate through space. In Fig. 2, we depict the equal phase surfaces, $\phi=0$, as a helical Ince-Gauss (HIG) mode travels in the $z$ direction. The mode we depict—HIG$_{22}$, with $\epsilon=2$—contains two phase singularities which remain at the same coordinate position while the equal phase lines “swirl” around them. We also show the full phase profile for nine different points along the propagation length. Classically, the orbital angular momentum can be seen as a result of the local phase gradient. From Fig. 2 it is easy to see how the complex phase profile of HIG beams gives rise...
FIG. 2. (Color online) The left-hand side depicts a helical Ince-Gauss mode’s surfaces of constant phase ($\phi = 0$) as it propagates through space. The mode shown is HIG$_{22}$, with $\epsilon = 2$. The right-hand side depicts the transverse phase profiles at 12 different points along the propagation length. The second through sixth of these positions are also contained within the phase-surface picture. In the second and last pictures, red arrows mark the positions of the topological-charge-carrying phase singularities. These points remain stationary with respect to the foci of the elliptic coordinate system, although the coordinate system itself expands as the beam propagates, in the same manner as the fundamental Gaussian. The equal-phase lines are seen to “swirl” about the two phase singularities.

to interesting OAM features. In the following section, we will begin the quantum analysis.

The Ince-Gauss equations, like the Laguerre-Gauss equations, span the space of solutions to the PWE. As such, any solution to the PWE may be decomposed into the Ince-Gauss basis. Here the ellipticity is also significant since each value it may take defines a full Hilbert space. For IG beams with the same mode numbers, the sets of orthogonal vectors which span these spaces may be transformed into each other by rotations through the Hilbert space defined by the choice of ellipticity. The Ince-Gauss equations also have the familiar and useful property that they are orthonormal,

$$\int_{2D} dS \, IG^\sigma_{pm} IG^{\sigma'}_{p'm'} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{pp'} \delta_{mm'},$$

(13)

where $\sigma$ labels the parity and the integration takes place over the two-dimensional transverse plane. Note that IG beams with different ellipticities are typically not orthogonal, however there are some instances in which they are.

Since the Laguerre-Gauss modes also span the space of the solutions to the PWE, it is possible to decompose the Ince-Gauss modes into this more familiar basis. Doing so is advantageous as the quantum orbital angular momentum (OAM) properties of the Laguerre-Gauss modes are well known. The decomposition is given in Refs. [19,20] as

$$IG^\sigma_{pm} = \sum_{n,l} D_{nl}^{\sigma} LG^\sigma_{nl},$$

(14)

where the $D_{nl}^{\sigma}$’s give the weights of the Laguerre-Gauss expansion. The required terms are given by the restriction that $p = 2n + l$ (again, a requirement that comes about because the modes involved must all have the same Gouy phase to be stable), meaning that which LG beams are needed depends only on the mode number, whereas the weights, $D$, are dependent on the ellipticity. The parity of the Laguerre-Gauss modes must match the parity of the Ince-Gauss beam. The weights come from the overlap integrals between the IG and LG modes (which are zero if the Gouy phases differ) and are given by

$$D_{nl}^{\sigma} = D(-1)^{l+n+(p+m)}/ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1+\delta_{ll}/2} \times \sqrt{(1+\delta_{ll})(n+l)}/2 \times \left(1+\delta_{oo}/2\right)^{(l+\delta_{oo}/2)/2},$$

(15)

where $F[(l+\delta_{oo}/2)/2]$ is the $(l+\delta_{oo}/2)$th Fourier coefficient of the Ince polynomial [that is, the $A_r$’s and $B_r$’s of Eqs. (9)–(12)] associated with the beam, which is strongly $\epsilon$-dependent. The normalization constant $D$ is found by requiring that $\sum_{n,l} D_{nl}^2 = 1$. To give a simple example, for $IG^e_{22}$ we have the decomposition

$$IG^e_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{1+\epsilon^2 - \sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}} \times \left(\epsilon LG_{22} + (1-\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2}) LG_{10}^{e}\right)},$$

(16)

For higher mode numbers, the decompositions quickly become too large to report for general ellipticity. The important point to make here is that the equations are analytic.

IV. QUANTUM ORBITAL ANGULAR MOMENTUM OF INCE-GAUSS BEAMS

In this section, we draw upon some previously derived formalism from the field of the orbital angular momentum of light to develop a general method for analytically calculating the OAM of an Ince-Gauss photon with general mode numbers and ellipticity. The formalism is fully quantum. The quantum theory of photons in Laguerre-Gauss modes is known [13]. The creation operator for a photon in a
Laguerre-Gauss Fock state may be written as
\[ \hat{a}_{nl}^\dagger(k_0) = \int d^2 \vec{q} \, \text{LG}_{nl}(\vec{q}) \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^\dagger(\vec{q}, k_0), \] (17)
where \( s \) labels the polarization mode (which may take values of \(+1\) or \(-1\)), \( \vec{q} \) is the transverse momentum vector, \( \text{LG}_{nl}(\vec{q}) \) is the Fourier transform of the Laguerre-Gauss mode as a function of \( \vec{q} \), and \( k_0 \) is the wave number of the forward-propagating plane wave. The annihilation operator is similarly defined, and they have the standard commutation relationship
\[ [\hat{a}_{nl}(k_0), \hat{a}_{nl'}^\dagger(k'_0)] = \delta_{s1} \delta_{n1} \delta_{l1} \delta(k_0 - k'_0). \] (18)

Since the Laguerre-Gauss modes span the space of the solutions to the PWE, any paraxial one-photon state may be written as
\[ |\psi\rangle = \sum_{s,n,l} \int_0^\infty dk_0 C_{nl}(k_0) \hat{a}_{nl}^\dagger(k_0)|0\rangle. \] (19)

The function \( C_{nl}(k_0) \) weights the various modes and needs to be normalized. We can write the result of the creation operator acting on the vacuum as \( |\text{LG}_{nl}^\dagger(0)\rangle = \hat{a}_{nl}^\dagger(0)|0\rangle \), where the plus and minus indicate the sign of \( l \); as a subscript in a state vector, we will always write \( l \) as positive. This represents a Laguerre-Gauss mode in a single-photon number state.

It is also possible to write observables for the spin and orbital angular momentum properties of a photon in a paraxial mode:
\[ \hat{L}_z = \hbar \sum_{s,n,l} \int_0^\infty dk_0 \hat{a}_{nl}^\dagger(k_0) \hat{a}_{nl}(k_0), \] (20)
\[ \hat{S}_z = \hbar \sum_{s,n,l} s \int_0^\infty dk_0 \hat{a}_{nl}^\dagger(k_0) \hat{a}_{nl}(k_0). \] (21)

The quantum Laguerre-Gauss Fock states, \( |L_{nl}^\pm\rangle \), are the eigenvectors of the OAM operator \( \hat{L}_z \), where \( l \) can take any integer value.

Now, we have the tools necessary to study the quantum properties of the orbital angular momentum of Ince-Gauss modes of light, and to begin to derive the main results of this paper. The first step is to take the helical Ince-Gauss beams—those that are of the form \( H_{IG}^{\pm \epsilon} = 1/\sqrt{2} (|\text{IG}^\epsilon_{p,m} \pm i \text{IG}^\epsilon_{p,m}^*\rangle) \) and carry orbital angular momentum—and decompose them in terms of the Laguerre-Gauss modes.

Before doing this, we need to write the quantum Laguerre-Gauss modes in terms of even and odd modes, as opposed to the helical modes, in which they are typically written
\[ |L_{nl}^\epsilon\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|L_{nl}^+\rangle + |L_{nl}^-\rangle), \] (22)
\[ |L_{nl}^o\rangle = \frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}} (|L_{nl}^+\rangle - |L_{nl}^-\rangle). \] (23)

Using Eq. (20), we can write the action of the orbital angular momentum operator on these modes as
\[ \hat{L}_z|L_{nl}^\epsilon\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|L_{nl}^+\rangle + |L_{nl}^-\rangle) = \hbar l |L_{nl}^\epsilon\rangle, \] (24)
\[ \hat{L}_z|L_{nl}^o\rangle = \frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}} (|L_{nl}^+\rangle - |L_{nl}^-\rangle) = -i \hbar l |L_{nl}^o\rangle. \] (25)

Now, writing the quantum even (or odd) Ince-Gauss modes as superpositions of the even (or odd) Laguerre-Gauss modes in the same manner as the classical decomposition, and combining them to make the helical modes, we have
\[ |T_{pm}^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{n,l} D_{nl}^e |L_{nl}^+\rangle \pm i \sum_{n,l'} D_{nl'}^o |L_{nl'}^-\rangle \right), \] (26)
where, due to the direct correspondence between the PWE and quantum physics, the \( D \)'s are the same as from Eqs. (14) and (15). It should be noted that OAM is always defined relative to a given axis. We use a decomposition of LG modes with a central optical vortex, therefore the value we calculate will be with respect to the central beam axis. It is then straightforward to calculate the expectation value of the quantum OAM as a function of these expansion coefficients,
\[ \langle L_z \rangle = \pm \sum_{n,l} \hbar |D_{nl}^e|^2 |D_{nl}^o|^2. \] (27)

Note that this equation is not a direct average of the \( \hat{L}_z \) modes comprising the LG decomposition. This is due to the fact that OAM can be understood as arising from the gradient of the transverse phase structure of the beam. Phase is a result of taking the argument (the angle of the polar representation of complex functions) of the beam. Argument is not a linear function, thus the OAM may not be reconstructed with weighted averages over the known values of the LG OAM and other methods must be used, such as the one we present here. Confusion may arise because computation of the OAM by averaging is possible for diffraction-free beams in the far field [14]. To calculate the above coefficients, we must solve the eigenvalue problem associated with the Ince polynomials. These problems become rapidly nontrivial for increasing \( p \) and \( m \), especially if we wish to allow general ellipticity. A MATHEMATICA program was specifically written to perform this task. For general ellipticities, the equations become far too large to report here.

The equations, though large, are analytically computable. A main feature that is immediately apparent is that—unlike the LG modes—the OAM is not restricted to integer values.

Previously, fractional orbital angular momentum light beams have been studied, even using a quantum formalism [31,32]. However, this treatment studies fractional states created by generalizing the spiral phase patterns, used to create LG modes, to noninteger phase-step heights. These beams do not necessarily display the symmetries and resonating characteristics of stable beams (although a subclass does). It is interesting to note that this procedure requires an additional real parameter as well—the angle at which the phase discontinuity exists—perhaps parametrizing the space in an analogous way to the ellipticity of the Ince-Gauss beams.

It is worthwhile to point out that there exists an even more general class of solutions to the paraxial wave equation in elliptic coordinates—the so-called general elliptic beams described in Ref. [34]. The “standard” Ince-Gauss modes that are the subject of this work are a special case of these more general elliptical beams. The more general solutions may be recovered by taking some parameters assumed to be real (specifically the ellipticity of the coordinate system \( \epsilon \) and the radial number \( p \)) and allowing them to take complex values—and also not assuming that the second complex beam parameter is the conjugate of the first complex beam parameter.
(i.e., that the beam waist and radius of curvature are real). Further details on the transformation are available in the reference. Fractional OAM exists in other beams as well, such as helical Hermite-Gauss beams [33] and others.

Our results apply equally well to these most general elliptical beams. This is due to the fact that the transformation laws between the complete basis of general elliptical beams and the complete basis of Laguerre-Gauss beams are the same as those between the standard Ince-Gauss and the Laguerre-Gauss—given that the relevant quantity is the overlap integral between the Ince polynomials and the Laguerre polynomials. Thus, given the substitution of the relevant quantities, our formalism extends to these solutions of the paraxial wave equation.

In the same vein, an important distinction must be made between the elliptical beams we utilize in this paper and elliptically shaped modes arising from a general astigmatism [35,36]. Astigmatic modes are based on a fundamental Gaussian that is itself already elliptical. Higher-order astigmatic beams are also possible, based on this fundamental mode. The modes we describe are different due to the fact that they are based on a circular-symmetric fundamental Gaussian. Since the astigmatic modes also comprise a complete set of solutions to the paraxial wave equation, there should exist transformation laws between them—though we are not aware of whether or not they have yet been described. Despite the superficial similarity, astigmatic modes and elliptical modes belong to different mathematical categories. Astigmatic Ince-Gauss modes should also be possible, though they are not the subject of our work. However, we do suggest that their investigation would be of significant interest.

Though only the LG modes are eigenmodes of the orbital angular momentum operator, this is by construction. We conjecture that there is no obstacle in principle to writing an elliptic OAM operator in a fully second quantized formalism, which has as its eigenmodes the IG fields. The mathematical complexity required to do this for general ellipticity, however, makes this a nontrivial task, and it presents an interesting avenue for future work. We surmise that such an operator’s eigenvalues would present as real numbers (not necessarily integers) when converted to the circular-cylindric coordinate system, that is, the values we calculate here. Likewise, the eigenvalues of the traditional (circular-cylindric) OAM operator would exist as noninteger average values in the elliptic basis. Independent of coordinate choice would be of significant interest.

Also of note in Fig. 3 is the fact that the OAM does not change monotonically as the ellipticity increases. There are “turning points” of minimum OAM. There is an intriguing semiclassical treatment of a related class of beams—Mathieu beams—where a similar phenomenon is studied [14]. Mathieu beams are generalizations to elliptical coordinates of Bessel beams (though it should be noted that both can be thought of as IG beams in the appropriate limit [34]). In Ref. [14], they also find similar turning points as the ellipticity of the beam is varied. Note that they appear in proximity to a “critical value” where new optical vortices begin to appear. This effect is seen again with “ellipticons,” which are elliptical, self-trapped beams which can exist in highly nonlinear, nonlocal media. These objects are also described by the same equations as the IG beams [37]. A numerical, semiclassical treatment of these beams reveals turning points as well. It is important to point out that the method for deriving the OAM of a beam classically relies on an integration over the entire phase profile of the beam, a more mathematically intense process than the one we present here—especially in the case of general ellipticity. Further research in this direction may shed more light on the relationship between OAM and optical vortices [38].

We posit that the initial decrease in the OAM of the beam (for those beams which exhibit this behavior) is due to the topological charge-carrying vortices moving apart. As they do so, the torque each vortex exerts is partially counteracted by its neighbors, since in the region between any two in-line vortices the torques work against each other. This effect is most apparent in Fig. 4. For those modes with a higher degree (second mode number), the initial drop-off in OAM is more dramatic since the initial central vortex splits into more vortices along the semimajor axis of the coordinate system as the ellipticity increases. See, for example, mode $|I^+_2\rangle$, which has a steep drop-off. Conversely, modes with lower degree experience less drop-off. See, for example, $|I^-_1\rangle$, which has no drop-off due to the fact that there is only one on-axis vortex.
The orbital angular momentum of four quantum IG modes, with the same order [first number (p): 7] and different degrees [second number (m): 1, 3, 5, 7], as a function of the ellipticity of the beam. To the left of the y axis are shown the transverse intensity profiles of the associated beams with \( \epsilon = 2 \). This graph highlights the fact that the OAM curves of different IG modes can cross. The middle inlets show the transverse intensity profiles of two beams when they cross (top: \(|I_{77}^1\rangle\), bottom: \(|I_{77}^5\rangle\)), with arrows pointing to the crossing point. To the right of the graph is shown the intensity profiles of two IG modes as they reach the limit of infinite ellipticity—becoming the same mode under a 90° rotation. As this occurs, both modes converge on the same OAM value.

The subsequent increase in OAM for some modes as the ellipticity increases further is a result of the creation of off-axis vortices in the beam as the ellipticity increases. The turning points can be seen when the effect of this process on the OAM begins to dominate over the effect of the on-axis vortex spread. For the extremal cases—\(|I_{77}^1\rangle\) and \(|I_{77}^5\rangle\)—there are no turning points as one effect or the other is absent.

Figure 4 also highlights more clearly another interesting property of the OAM of Ince-Gauss modes, namely the fact that the OAM curves of different IG modes can cross. This results in orthogonal modes with the same OAM at specific values of the ellipticity. This is in contrast to the LG modes where, due to the radial number not affecting the OAM, there may be an infinite number of modes with the same (integer) OAM.

The crossings are due to the fact that the two effects, discussed in the paragraph above, affect different modes to different extents, allowing the OAM curves to cross. For example, the \(|I_{77}^3\rangle\) mode crosses through the \(|I_{77}^5\rangle\) mode as the former becomes more affected by off-axis vortex creation, whereas the latter is not affected by this process at all.

Figure 4 also shows the convergence of two separate IG modes (here, \(|I_{77}^1\rangle\) and \(|I_{77}^5\rangle\)) on the same OAM value in the infinite limit as they become helical HG beams. The reason for this convergence can be easily demonstrated by examining the intensity distributions in the infinite limit (also depicted in Fig. 4). The beams are identical under a 90° rotation, thus the orbital angular momentum (being invariant under overall rotations) approaches the same value. These types of beams—hermite-Gauss modes carrying OAM—have been studied before and dubbed the “helical Hermite-Gauss” modes. They have many interesting properties; for more information on them, see Ref. [33]. These two intensity diagrams also again demonstrate well the two processes (discussed previously) that affect OAM as ellipticity is varied. The \(|I_{77}^1\rangle\) mode only experiences on-axis vortex creation, leading to a monotonically decreasing OAM and a horizontal line of phase vortices. In contrast, the \(|I_{77}^5\rangle\) only experiences off-axis vortex creation, leading to a monotonically increasing OAM and a vertical line of phase vortices.

Interestingly, these convergences do not occur in the other widely studied elliptical beams—the Mathieu-Gauss beams—as the ellipticity approaches infinity.

V. INTERACTIONS WITH PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

Now, we address the question of how OAM transfers to systems with which the IG light field interacts. In short, the answer is as follows: What OAM is detected depends strongly on what composes the observing system. Consider the following cases: (a) a large, rigid physical object which interacts with the entire beam, (b) a free-particle exterior to the system of vortices, (c) a free particle in close proximity to one of the vortices, and (d) a device which performs a projection in the IG basis. An example would be a spatial light modulator, which is set to transform a specific mode into a Gaussian mode, which then either does or does not couple into an optical fiber, depending on whether the mode matches.

(a) The object would interact with the entire phase profile of the beam and pick up an angular momentum equivalent to the expectation value of the OAM per photon. This could also be seen as the object experiencing a torque from each singularity proportional to that singularity’s topological charge. Here, it is easy to see why the OAM initially decreases as the ellipticity increases. Take, for example, a beam with two vortices: as the ellipticity increases and the vortices move apart, their torques work against each other to an increasing degree.

(b) The particle would follow an elliptical orbit around the system of vortices. This has been demonstrated experimentally for the similar Mathieu-Gauss beams [39].

(c) The particle would rotate around the nearby vortex, picking up an OAM per photon (with respect to the position of the vortex) of approximately the topological charge of the vortex. There would also be some second-order effects from other vortices in the beam, which would be small if they were remote and potentially large if the vortices were near.

(d) Perhaps the most interesting case. If the system in question sorts photons according to their integer OAM value, a superposition of OAM values would occur (with weights defined as in the expansion of the IG modes in terms of LG polynomials). This may have application to quantum communication and quantum information processing. Projections into the IG basis of noninteger OAM states are also possible.

It is important to reemphasize that all the results reported in this manuscript are per photon, in units of \( \hbar \).

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The richness of the Ince-Gauss modes of light present an excellent test-bed for the study of optical vortices and
their connection to topological charge and the orbital angular momentum of light. This has potential application to various fields, including optomechanics, atom trapping, and quantum informatics. Plus, insights gained from this study will continue to elucidate the nature of light itself.

In this paper, we first developed a fully quantum mechanical formalism that describes the orbital angular momentum of the Ince-Gauss beams of light. We then highlighted several striking features of the OAM of these light fields as a function of the ellipticity of the beam. We observe nonmonotonic behavior, where minima are reached for specific values of the ellipticity. Also, convergence on the OAM of the LG beams in the zero limit and of the HG beams in the infinite limit is observed. Another feature is the crossing of beams with different \( p \) and \( m \) numbers at specific values of the OAM and ellipticity. Perhaps most importantly, we demonstrate the overall continuous nature of the OAM as the IG beams range over both integer and noninteger values in a fully quantum mechanical way for beams whose shapes are stable under propagation. That is, the OAM of a beam may be “tuned” continuously using the IG modes, yielding stable modes with fractional OAM. It is useful here to briefly consider what use these beams may have. Given the way in which the vortices separate and move as the ellipticity increases, there could be application to more advanced techniques in atomic trapping, building on an already burgeoning field which thus far has made use of simpler beam profiles only (see, for example, Ref. [40]).

Also, the ellipticity of the beam may potentially have use in quantum key distribution as it opens up a continuous parameter space in which information could potentially be hidden, increasing the robustness of QKD schemes to potential attacks. We envision, as a possible QKD protocol, a procedure in which an ellipticity encoding is transmitted first using a robust mapping function in a polarization basis. Next, one-half of a pair of entangled IG photons is transmitted, distributing the quantum key. If any potential eavesdropper fails to obtain complete information of the mapping function generating the ellipticity, when it attempts to eavesdrop on the key it will have markedly reduced projections onto the basis vectors, even when the proper measurement basis is chosen. For such a protocol, entangling IG modes will be important. This was recently achieved in Ref. [26]. A formal analysis of this potential scheme could constitute a promising avenue for future research.

There may also be some application to quantum informatics in general since the Ince-Gauss light modes exist naturally as stable superpositions of the LG modes. These open possibilities all present potential paths for further research.
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